University of Washington

Significance of the Investigation to Washington State College Athletes

In 2023, the California state legislature, two federal courts, and the National Labor Relations Bloard NLRBs are all considering whether coticips are affected should be reclassified from student status to employee status under state or federal employment policies or labor law. This wave of activity also considers related questions over a fair college athlete wage and other worker protections and benefits such as overtime per, antimate restrictions related to endorsements, and access to \$198 in media rights and other revenue generated by college athletic programs (Richter, 2025.

The current designation of college athletes as students creates a power imbalance where institutions limit athlete access to equitable healthcare, appropriate compensation, and the right to organize. Black college athletes — women and men — disproportionately bear the burden of this inequity between their sport conditions and the institutions, NCAA, and athletic conferences that set the terms of their athletic and scatenics conditions and benefits.

Because there are no models for college athlete employee status that currently exist, we propose conducting a policy analysis of this emerging area of taker law and its impacts on students in Washington State. We explore questions of changing college athletes' status to employees currently under consideration at the state and federal level, including § a legal definition of college athletes, 2 lights to unincluse and collectively bargam, and 3) workplace rights and protections such as fall wages and medical benefits for sports injuries. Decisions over these executions will be a support to the sport of the state of the sport of the sport of the sport of the state of the sport of t